UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,678	03/29/2004	Steven Sachs	0012	2969
43699 GO DADDY G	7590 05/11/201 ROUP, INC.	EXAMINER		
14455 NORTH	HAYDEN ROAD	STRODER, CARRIE A		
SUITE 219 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85260			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3689	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

inventions@godaddy.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/811,678	SACHS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CARRIE A. STRODER	3689			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow	nis action is non-final.	rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7-9,13-15 and 19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,7-9,13-15 and 19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 3689

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the applicant's communication filed on 12 March 2010, wherein:

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-15, and 19 are currently pending; and claims 1, 7, 13, and 19 are currently amended.

#### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments filed 12 March 2010 have been fully considered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over www.godaddy.com, 02 February 2003 (hereinafter referred to as "Go Daddy"), in view of Glogau (US 5983351).

## Referring to claim 1:

Go Daddy teaches

- A) the Facilitator's web site offering hosting services on the Internet to the Entrepreneur (page 1; "host your site"); and
- B) the Facilitator's web site assisting the Entrepreneur in designing an Entrepreneur's web site by providing web site design services, wherein the Entrepreneur's web site is hosted

on a server and is associated with an IP address managed by the Domain Name System (page 1; "create your own web site").

- Go Daddy does not teach; however, Glogau teaches
- C) the Facilitator's web site accessing the Entrepreneur's web site to obtain a copy of a material to be copyrighted (col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 9, lines 23-26 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...copy[ing] the client's web site" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system and further, "to obtain a copy of a material to be copyrighted" is not a positive recitation of a claim limitation and therefore, receives little patentable weight);
- D) the Facilitator's web site translating the copy of the material to be copyrighted into a format acceptable to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE (col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...format[ting] the 'packaged' web site...for filing" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system).

Both Go Daddy and Glogau teach

E) the Facilitator's web site electronically submitting the translated copy of the material to be copyright to the UNITED

Art Unit: 3689

STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE (Go Daddy, page 1; "Federal Copyright Protection" and Glogau, col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...format[ting] the 'packaged' web site...for filing" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system).

One skilled in the art would have found it obvious from the combined teachings of Go Daddy and Glogau as a whole to produce the invention as claimed with a reasonable expectation of providing a Facilitator's web site which accesses the Entrepreneur's web site to obtain a copy of a material to be copyrighted and a Facilitator's web site which translates the copy of the material to be copyrighted into a format acceptable to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE.

#### Referring to claim 2:

Go Daddy teaches the Facilitator's web site assisting the Entrepreneur in selecting an available domain name having a label and a top-level domain based on one or more words chosen by the Entrepreneur to describe the Entrepreneur's business (page 1; "get a domain name").

### Referring to claim 3:

Go Daddy teaches the Facilitator's web site submitting the Entrepreneur's web site to one or more search engines (page 1; "traffic blazer").

#### Referring to claim 7:

- Go Daddy teaches:
- A) the Facilitator's web site offering domain name registration services over the Internet to the Entrepreneur (page 1; "get a domain name");
- B) the Facilitator's web site offering hosting services on a server for the Entrepreneur's web site at an Internet protocol address associated with a domain name having a label and a top-level domain registered by the Entrepreneur (page 1; "host your site");
  - Go Daddy does not teach; however, Glogau teaches
- c) the Facilitator's web site accessing the Entrepreneur's web site to obtain a copy of a material to be copyrighted (col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 9, lines 23-26 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...copy[ing] the client's web site" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system and further, "to obtain a copy of a material to be copyrighted" is not a positive recitation of

a claim limitation and therefore, receives little patentable weight);

D) the Facilitator's web site translating the copy of the material to be copyrighted into a format acceptable to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE (col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...format[ting] the 'packaged' web site...for filing" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system).

Both Go Daddy and Glogau teach

E) the Facilitator's web site electronically submitting the translated copy of the material to be copyright to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE (Go Daddy, page 1; "Federal Copyright Protection" and Glogau, col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...format[ting] the 'packaged' web site...for filing" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system).

One skilled in the art would have found it obvious from the combined teachings of Go Daddy and Glogau as a whole to produce the invention as claimed with a reasonable expectation of providing a Facilitator's web site which accesses the Entrepreneur's web site to obtain a copy of a material to be

Art Unit: 3689

copyrighted and a Facilitator's web site which translates the copy of the material to be copyrighted into a format acceptable to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE.

#### Referring to claim 8:

Go Daddy teaches the Facilitator's web site assisting the Entrepreneur in selecting and registering an available domain name based on one or more words chosen by the Entrepreneur to describe the Entrepreneur's Internet business (page 1; "get a domain name").

### Referring to claim 9:

Claim 9 is rejected on the same basis as claim 3.

#### Referring to claim 13:

Go Daddy teaches:

- A) the Facilitator's web site offering domain name registration services over the Internet to the Entrepreneur (page 1; "get a domain name");
- B) the Facilitator's web site assisting the Entrepreneur in designing an Entrepreneur's web site hosted on a server by providing web site design services on the Facilitator's web site (page 1; "create your own web site");
  - Go Daddy does not teach; however, Glogau teaches
- C) the Facilitator's web site accessing the Entrepreneur's web site to obtain a copy of a material to be copyrighted (col.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,678
Art Unit: 3689

- 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 9, lines 23-26 and col.

  12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright

  registration of Internet web site(s) by...copy[ing] the client's

  web site" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently

  requires use of a computer system and further, "to obtain a copy

  of a material to be copyrighted" is not a positive recitation of

  a claim limitation and therefore, receives little patentable

  weight);
- D) the Facilitator's web site translating the copy of the material to be copyrighted into a format acceptable to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE (col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...format[ting] the 'packaged' web site...for filing" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system).

Both Go Daddy and Glogau teach

E) the Facilitator's web site electronically submitting the translated copy of the material to be copyright to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE (Go Daddy, page 1; "Federal Copyright Protection" and Glogau, col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...format[ting] the

Application/Control Number: 10/811,678

Art Unit: 3689

'packaged' web site...for filing" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system).

Page 9

One skilled in the art would have found it obvious from the combined teachings of Go Daddy and Glogau as a whole to produce the invention as claimed with a reasonable expectation of providing a Facilitator's web site which accesses the Entrepreneur's web site to obtain a copy of a material to be copyrighted and a Facilitator's web site which translates the copy of the material to be copyrighted into a format acceptable to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE.

#### Referring to claim 14:

Go Daddy teaches the Facilitator's web site assisting the Entrepreneur in selecting a domain name based on one or more words chosen by the Entrepreneur to describe the Entrepreneur's Internet business (page 1; "get a domain name").

#### Referring to claim 15:

Claim 15 is rejected on the same basis as claim 3.

### Referring to claim 19:

Go Daddy teaches:

A) the Facilitator's web site receiving information over the Internet regarding an Entrepreneur that has accessed the Facilitator's web site (page 1; "My Account");

- B) the Facilitator's web site storing the information regarding the Entrepreneur in a memory location accessible by the Facilitator's web site (page 1; "My Account");
- C) the Facilitator's web site assisting the Entrepreneur in designing an Entrepreneur's web site hosted on a server by providing web site design services on the Facilitator's web site using at least some of the stored information regarding the Entrepreneur (page 1; "create your own web site"); and
  - Go Daddy does not teach; however, Glogau teaches
- C) the Facilitator's web site accessing the Entrepreneur's web site to obtain a copy of a material to be copyrighted (col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 9, lines 23-26 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...copy[ing] the client's web site" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system and further, "to obtain a copy of a material to be copyrighted" is not a positive recitation of a claim limitation and therefore, receives little patentable weight);
- D) the Facilitator's web site translating the copy of the material to be copyrighted into a format acceptable to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE (col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances"

copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...format[ting] the 'packaged' web site...for filing" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system).

Both Go Daddy and Glogau teach

E) the Facilitator's web site electronically submitting the translated copy of the material to be copyright to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE (Go Daddy, page 1; "Federal Copyright Protection" and Glogau, col. 3, line 40 thru col. 4, line 7 and col. 12, lines 51-58; "computer system enhances copyright registration of Internet web site(s) by...format[ting] the 'packaged' web site...for filing" and where the Facilitator's web site inherently requires use of a computer system).

One skilled in the art would have found it obvious from the combined teachings of Go Daddy and Glogau as a whole to produce the invention as claimed with a reasonable expectation of providing a Facilitator's web site which accesses the Entrepreneur's web site to obtain a copy of a material to be copyrighted and a Facilitator's web site which translates the copy of the material to be copyrighted into a format acceptable to the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE.

### Response to Arguments

The rejection under 35 USC 112 is withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 12 March 2010 have been fully considered as to the rejection under 35 USC 103 but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Glogau and Go Daddy do not teach a "Facilitator's web site electronically submitting the translated copy of the material to be copyrighted to the United States Copyright Office". Examiner respectfully disagrees. The references must be read together; one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Examiner has clarified the rejection (see above), to indicate that it is the combination of Glogau and Go Daddy which teach the rejected claim limitations.

#### Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CARRIE A. STRODER whose telephone number is (571)270-7119. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jan Mooneyham can be

Art Unit: 3689

reached on (571)272-6805. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/CARRIE A. STRODER/ Examiner, Art Unit 3689

/Janice A. Mooneyham/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3689